Can Broadly Neutralizing Monoclonal Antibodies Lead to a Hepatitis C Virus Vaccine?
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While licensed vaccines elicit protective antibody responses against a variety of viral infections, an effective vaccine for hepatitis C virus (HCV) has remained elusive. The extraordinary genetic diversity of HCV and the ability of the virus to evade the immune response have hindered vaccine development efforts. However, recent studies have greatly expanded the number of well-characterized broadly neutralizing human monoclonal antibodies (bNAbs) against HCV. These bNAbs target relatively conserved HCV epitopes, prevent HCV infection in animal models, and are associated with spontaneous clearance of human HCV infection. In this review, recent high-resolution bNAb epitope mapping and structural analysis of bNAb–epitope complexes that may serve as a guide for vaccine development are discussed along with major obstacles.

Why is an HCV Vaccine Necessary in an Era of Effective Antiviral Therapy?

HCV infection is a global health crisis, with approximately 71 million people infected worldwide [1]. HCV infection is largely asymptomatic, but over time can lead to cirrhosis, end-stage liver disease, and hepatocellular carcinoma in chronically infected individuals [2,3]. While direct-acting antiviral (DAA) therapy has revolutionized care for patients with HCV, infection incidence is on the rise in the USA [4]. Less than 5% of the world’s HCV-infected population and only 50% of the HCV-infected population in the USA are aware that they are infected [5,6]. Only a small minority of those people who are aware of their infection have access to treatment, and those untreated remain at risk for transmitting the infection to others and developing HCV-related complications [7]. Those who receive DAA therapy are still at risk for reinfection [8]. For these reasons, development of a prophylactic vaccine remains a critical component of HCV eradication efforts.

The Challenge of HCV Genetic Diversity

The immense genetic diversity of HCV is a major challenge to vaccine development efforts. HCV can be divided into seven genotypes that exhibit approximately 30% intergenotypic amino acid variation in their envelope genes (E1 and E2). There are more than 60 known subtypes, and subtypes within each genotype differ at approximately 15% of their E1E2 amino acids [9] (Figure 1). Importantly, even viral strains within the same subtype differ at up to 10% of their E1E2 amino acids. In addition to intergenotypic and intragenotypic diversity, the error-prone polymerase of the virus, coupled with immune selection, leads to generation of a diverse viral swarm within each infected individual [10] (Figure 2). Due to this viral diversity, neutralizing antibodies that are protective against one HCV strain may not be protective against strains from other genotypes, or even other strains from the same subtype. Therefore, vaccine strategies will need to generate a broad immune response capable of inhibiting infection by viruses that are extremely genetically diverse.
Evidence for Antibody-Mediated Control of HCV Infection

Despite HCV genetic diversity, there is evidence from studies of HCV infection of humans and animal models that an antibody-based vaccine could be effective. While most people who are infected with HCV remain chronically infected, approximately 25% of individuals clear the infection without treatment [11]. Individuals who clear HCV infection are sometimes reinfected with different HCV strains, suggesting that clearance is not always indicative of sterilizing immunity. However, individuals who clear initial infections clear subsequent infections more than 80% of the time [11]. Relative to first infections, these reinfections are characterized by shorter duration and lower peak viremia, indicating that adaptive immunity is conferring protection (Figure 3). Similarly, chimpanzees who spontaneously clear primary HCV infection are also protected from both homologous and heterologous viral challenge, with lower magnitude and shorter duration of viremia with rechallenge [12].

Notably, clearance of primary human HCV infection is also associated with the early development of a broadly neutralizing plasma response against HCV [13–16]. In addition, we and others have isolated bNAbs from individuals who cleared HCV infection without treatment [17,18]. Unexpectedly, the bNAbs that we isolated from two individuals after HCV clearance displayed minimal somatic hypermutation, suggesting that the barrier to vaccine-induction of similar bNAbs may be lower than previously thought [17]. In addition to a role in clearance of infection, neutralizing antibodies also likely play a role in suppressing chronic infection.

Figure 1. Genetic Diversity of Hepatitis C Virus (HCV) E1E2 across Genotypes, Subtypes, and within a Single Subtype. Phylogenetic trees of reference E1E2 amino acid sequences downloaded from the LANL HCV sequence database. Trees were inferred using the neighbor-joining method, with branch lengths drawn to scale. Subtypes are labelled and indicated with different colors. All three trees are on the same scale. Distances were computed using the Jones–Taylor–Thornton (JTT) method. Analyses were performed in MEGA7 [92]. Not all known subtypes are shown, and the number of sequences shown for each subtype does not reflect worldwide prevalence.
Figure 2. Genetic Diversity of Hepatitis C Virus (HCV) E1E2 Sequences from a Subtype 1b Single-Source Outbreak. Phylogenetic analysis of E1E2 amino acid sequences of ten individuals from the Irish anti-D cohort, who were all infected from the same inoculum (red symbols) between 1977 and 1978 [14]. Sequences of virus from each individual are a different color. Phylogenetic trees were inferred using the neighbor-joining method, with branch lengths drawn to scale. Distances were computed using the Jones–Taylor–Thornton (JTT) method. Analyses were performed in MEGA7 [92].

Figure 3. Typical Hepatitis C Virus (HCV) RNA Levels in Humans with Clearance of Multiple Infections or Persistence of Infection. Representative graph demonstrating the history of viremia in chronically infected individuals (shown in blue) and in individuals who clear repeated HCV infections with heterologous strains of HCV (shown in red). The dotted line designates the limit of detection of standard HCV RNA detection methods, and all timepoints plotted below that line are HCV RNA negative.
broadly neutralizing plasma in chronic infection is associated with lower viral loads, and slower disease progression [19]. In contrast, hypogammaglobulinemia is associated with increased rates of disease progression [20].

Antibodies are also protective against HCV infection in animal models. Infusion of bNAbs can prevent infection in humanized mice [21,22] and chimpanzees [23], and combinations of bNAbs also abrogated established HCV infection in a humanized mouse model [24]. In vaccinated chimpanzees, a sustained antibody response to the HCV envelope correlated with a reduction in viral load [25]. Given this strong evidence for the role of neutralizing antibodies in the control of HCV, more studies are needed to identify and characterize the neutralizing breadth, neutralizing titer, and target epitopes of antibodies in broadly neutralizing human plasma. Defining these correlates of natural protection will help to define the antibody response that needs to be elicited by a vaccine in order for it to protect against HCV infection.

Anti-HCV bNAbs Target Conserved Envelope Protein Domains

The targets of the neutralizing antibody (NAb) response against HCV are the viral envelope glycoproteins, E1 and E2. While E2 is the main target of NAbs against the virus, it is also the most diverse region of the HCV genome [26]. Hypervariable region 1 (HVR1), a 27-amino acid region at the amino terminus of E2, is an immunodominant epitope that is capable of rapid evolution [27,28]. Thus, while most HCV-infected individuals develop strain-specific NAbs against HVR1, viral escape mutations confer rapid resistance to these antibodies [29–32]. Notably, an HVR1-binding monoclonal antibody (mAb) isolated recently from an individual who cleared HCV infection displayed significant neutralizing breadth against multiple genotype 1 isolates, suggesting that not all HVR1-binding NAbs are strain-specific [17].

To date, dozens of bNAbs have been isolated which target conserved epitopes outside of HVR1, providing insight into the antibody response that should be elicited by a vaccine [17,21,33–41]. Relationships between binding epitopes of many of these bNAbs have historically been somewhat unclear due to incomplete epitope mapping and diverse nomenclature used to identify antigenic sites. However, in two recent studies, Pierce et al. [42] and Gopal et al. [43] performed alanine scanning mutagenesis across all amino acids of E2 or E1E2, respectively, coupled with E1E2 binding assays using panels of HCV-specific mAbs. These studies, along with prior work, have elucidated the amino acids critical for native envelope protein folding, as well as the relationships between the binding epitopes of diverse bNAbs. These studies reveal that most cross-reactive mAbs against HCV target one of six overlapping antigenic sites on E2 (Figure 4, Table 1).

Some of the most potent anti-HCV bNAbs isolated to date target a conserved region at the CD81 binding site of E2 (CD81bs) (Figure 4A). These include antibodies targeting overlapping epitopes in what are commonly called Domain B, Domain D, Antigenic Region 3 (AR3), or ‘Epitope II’ [17,21,33,35,44]. BNAbs from this group have been isolated from chronically infected individuals and from individuals who spontaneously cleared HCV, generally neutralizing multiple viral strains from multiple genotypes [17,21,38]. Notably, despite overlapping binding epitopes, these mAbs vary in their neutralizing breadth and in the HCV strains that they neutralize most and least potently [45].

A second group of broadly neutralizing CD81bs antibodies targets a continuous epitope spanning amino acids 412–423, commonly referred to as Antigenic Domain E, AS412, or ‘Epitope I’. This group of antibodies includes rodent-derived antibodies AP33, 3/11, and H77.39 as well as human antibodies HCV-1 and HC33.1 [36,46–49]. A third binding site of
bNAbs is the E1E2 heterodimer, which is targeted by bNAbs designated AR4A and AR5A. These bNAbs bind to epitopes that are still ill-defined. AR4A and AR5A do not compete for E1E2 binding, but both appear to bind near the C terminus of E2 and require complexed E1 and E2 for binding [24,37].
Table 1. Representative Human Monoclonal Antibodies (mAbs) with Cross-Reactivity against Multiple HCV Strains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antigenic site</th>
<th>Antigenic domain</th>
<th>mAb</th>
<th>Binding residues</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Domain B</td>
<td>HC-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>426, 428, 429, 430, 503, 529, 530, 535</td>
<td>Broadly neutralizing</td>
<td>[42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domain D</td>
<td>HC84.26</td>
<td></td>
<td>441, 442, 446, 616</td>
<td>Broadly neutralizing</td>
<td>[42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2–CD81 binding site – amino acids 412–423</td>
<td>Domain E</td>
<td>HC33.1</td>
<td>413, 418, 420</td>
<td>Broadly neutralizing</td>
<td>[42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AS412</td>
<td>HCV-1</td>
<td>413, 420</td>
<td>Broadly neutralizing</td>
<td>[46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1E2 heterodimer</td>
<td>AR4</td>
<td>AR4A</td>
<td>201, 205, 459, 486, 487, 543, 545, 569, 585, 589, 597, 652, 677, 679, 698</td>
<td>Broadly neutralizing</td>
<td>[37,43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AR5</td>
<td>AR5A</td>
<td>201, 205, 459, 486, 513, 543, 569, 585, 594, 597, 639, 652, 657, 677, 679</td>
<td>Broadly neutralizing</td>
<td>[37,43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2 – amino acids 544–549</td>
<td>AR1</td>
<td>AR1A</td>
<td>495, 519, 544, 545, 547, 548, 549, 632</td>
<td>Weakly neutralizing</td>
<td>[21,43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domain C</td>
<td>CBH-7</td>
<td></td>
<td>544, 545, 547, 549, 597, 626</td>
<td>Weakly neutralizing, broadly reactive</td>
<td>[42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>HEPC46</td>
<td>452, 541, 543, 544, 545, 546, 547, 548, 549, 569, 594, 597, 598, 631, 633</td>
<td>Weakly neutralizing</td>
<td>[17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2 – back layer</td>
<td>AR2</td>
<td>AR2A</td>
<td>625, 628</td>
<td>Narrow breadth</td>
<td>[21,43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>IGH526</td>
<td>313–327</td>
<td>Narrow breadth</td>
<td>[50]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Based on E1E2 protein binding competition analysis.

*Binding residues from Gopal et al. [43] defined as alanine mutations that reduced binding to <25% of wild type, but control antibodies maintained binding >75%. Binding residues from Pierce et al. [91] and Bailey et al. [17] defined as alanine mutations that reduced binding to <50% of wild type, but control antibodies maintained binding >75%. Binding residues from Broering et al. [46] defined as alanine mutations that reduced binding to <25% of wild type.

*Mutations at >15 residues reduced binding to <50%, so only the 15 sites with greatest effect on binding are listed.

*This mAb was isolated from a vaccinated mouse expressing human antibody genes.

*It is not known which of these mutations are directly involved in envelope–mAb contact, and which are required for E1E2 interaction.

*Mutations at >15 residues reduced binding to <25%, so only the 15 sites with greatest effect on binding are listed.

Other mAbs targeting what are commonly referred to as AR1, Domain C, Domain A, and AR2 are generally weakly neutralizing or non-neutralizing (Figure 4B). AR1-specific antibodies, designated AR1A and AR1B, bind E2 at epitopes including amino acids 544–549, but fail to neutralize most strains [21]. AR1A and AR1B epitopes overlap significantly with the epitope...
of another broadly reactive but weakly neutralizing antibody, the Domain C antibody designated CBH-7 [34]. Notably, Domain A antibodies, such as the mAb designated CBH-4B, also appear to have critical binding residues at 544–549, but unlike AR1 and Domain C mAbs, they appear to also rely on amino acids 629–633 in the E2 back layer for binding, and they are non-neutralizing [34]. AR2 mAbs, which bind primarily to the back layer of E2, also display relatively narrow neutralizing breadth [21].

To date, few bNAbs against E1 have been isolated. However, human mAbs that target E1, including an mAb designated IGH526, were isolated from an HCV-infected individual [50]. While these mAbs were able to neutralize genotype 1a, 1b, 4, 5, and 6 strains, they were unable to potently neutralize genotype 2 or 3 strains. In summary, recent high-resolution epitope mapping of anti-HCV mAbs has demonstrated that most cross-reactive mAbs against HCV target one of six overlapping antigenic sites, with the majority of bNAbs targeting the CD81bs of E2.

**Structural Analysis of bNAb Epitopes**

X-ray crystal structures of bNAb–epitope complexes have provided the first glimpse of the three-dimensional structures that would be necessary to induce bNAbs with a vaccine. These studies have also identified challenges that may limit induction of bNAbs, including complex conformational epitopes, structural flexibility of envelope proteins, and functional differences between mAbs binding to closely related binding epitopes.

The crystal structure of a truncated strain H77 E2 ‘core’ complexed with an AR3-specific bNAb, designated AR3C, demonstrated that the AR3C conformational epitope is structurally complex, with extensive AR3C contact residues in multiple E2 domains, including the front layer and the CD81 binding loop. This structure also suggested that some regions of E2 are flexible, since deletion of some regions was necessary to facilitate crystallization, and other regions were disordered in the structure [51]. Several other mAbs binding to epitopes overlapping with that of AR3C have also been crystallized with peptides spanning their epitopes. HC84-1 and HC84-27 are both broadly neutralizing, and they bind to the same side of the E2 front layer alpha helix as AR3C [38]. In contrast, a weakly neutralizing mAb, designated mAb#8, also binds to a similar epitope, but with a very different angle of approach, which may explain its poor neutralizing activity [52].

Crystal structures of the region of E2 spanning amino acids 412 to 423 (Domain E/Epitope I/AS412), in complex with mAbs AP33, HCV-1, 3/11, and HC33.1, demonstrate that mAbs can also bind to this region with different angles of approach [40,53–56]. In addition, the region assumes a beta hairpin conformation in some complexes and a more extended conformation in others, indicating that it is structurally flexible. Other studies have also demonstrated that this region is flexible even when E2 is properly folded [55,57]. Overall, these structural studies help to define the bNAb epitopes that should be incorporated into a vaccine, but they also identify the challenge of complex, conformational epitopes that might be difficult to reproduce for a vaccine, and structural flexibility of these epitopes that could limit bNab induction. To facilitate rational vaccine design, many more structures of bNAbs in complex with genetically diverse E2 or E1E2 proteins are needed.

**The Challenge of bNAb Resistance**

While many bNAbs neutralize multiple diverse strains of HCV, mutations conferring resistance to many individual bNAbs and groups of bNAbs have been identified. Some bNAb resistance mutations fall within identified bNAb epitopes. These include N415D and N417S mutations that confer resistance to HCV1, as well as F442L/I mutations that confer resistance to HC84.26 and other Domain D antibodies [45,58].
Notably, several studies have demonstrated no association between the level of bNAb resistance of natural HCV strains and mutations within the epitopes of those bNAbs [13,45]. Other studies have identified few mutations in bNAb epitopes in HCV-infected individuals [59], even though bNAbs commonly develop during chronic infection. This observation may be explained in part by the discovery that mutations outside of bNAb epitopes are capable of conferring bNAb resistance [45,60,61]. Mutations in the central beta sheet of E2, distant from known binding epitopes, confer resistance to Domain B/AR3 bNAbs [45,62], and a mutation in HVR1 that modulates E2-scavenger receptor–B1 interaction confers resistance to bNAbs AR4A and HC33.4, even if their binding epitopes are fully conserved [60].

In addition to these extraepitopic resistance mutations, HCV E1E2 also features a glycan shield [63], and is associated with apolipoproteins [64,65] that can partially obscure conserved neutralization epitopes. Also, some nonneutralizing or poorly neutralizing antibodies bind to epitopes adjacent to bNAb epitopes, antagonizing bNAb neutralizing activity [66,67].

The Role of CD4+ T Cell Responses
The role of CD4+ T cells in priming the anti-HCV antibody response is poorly understood. The presence of a vigorous and multispecific proliferative CD4+ T cell response against HCV proteins is a strong immunological correlate of spontaneous control of acute HCV infection [68–71]. In vaccinated chimpanzees, control of heterologous HCV infection was associated with the quality of the peripheral T-helper immune response, suggesting the importance of CD4+ T cell responses in clearance of HCV infection [72]. However, the chimpanzees who cleared infection did not have any measurable difference in antibody response. Given the critical nature of CD4+ T cells in antibody maturation, CD4+ T cells would need to be primed in an antibody-based vaccine. Anti-HCV CD4+ T cell responses, which are primed in human acute infection, disappear in chronic infection [73]. Avoiding this CD4+ T cell exhaustion phenotype in vaccinated subjects would be critical to eliciting a potent antibody-based vaccine response. Therefore, inclusion of an effective antigen to elicit CD4+ T cell responses should also be a vaccination consideration.

Selection of Effective Vaccine Antigens
Purified recombinant full-length E1E2 protein from a single HCV strain has been most extensively studied as an antibody-inducing vaccine antigen, using oil-in-water adjuvants. This vaccine induced fairly strong heterologous neutralizing activity in guinea pigs [74] and was protective against homologous HCV challenge in chimpanzees [75]. It also led to reduced rates of persistence in chimpanzees after challenge with a heterologous, albeit neutralization sensitive, HCV strain [76]. However, immunization of healthy human volunteers induced detectable bNAb titers in only 3 of 16 vaccinees [77], suggesting that further optimization of the vaccine antigen or of the vaccination strategy may be needed.

Some studies have demonstrated that HVR1 may occlude conserved bNAb epitopes, suggesting that deletion of HVR1 might enhance vaccine induction of antibodies against these epitopes [78]. In support of this approach, fairly high titers of bNAbs were induced in guinea pigs by high-molecular-weight complexes of E2 protein with three variable regions deleted [79]. Alternatively, molecular scaffolds have been developed to present single conserved epitopes [80]. However, combinations of human NAbs targeting multiple epitopes, including an epitope in HVR1, were recently found to display complementary neutralizing breadth and in some cases neutralizing synergy, suggesting that full-length E1E2 may also have advantages as a vaccine antigen relative to truncated proteins [81]. Overall, more work is needed to identify ideal vaccine antigens, as well as optimal vaccine adjuvants. In particular, more vaccine trials using human...
subjects will be critical, as it is unclear that anti-HCV antibody responses induced in animals are predictive of responses that would be induced by the same vaccine in humans.

**Lessons from Dengue, Zika, and HIV Vaccination Efforts**

Recent success with vaccine development for other viruses from the *flaviviridae* family should provide encouragement for HCV vaccine development efforts. Recently, a live attenuated dengue vaccine elicited complete protection against dengue virus in a human challenge model [82,83]. This vaccine induced neutralizing antibodies against all four dengue virus serotypes. Recent Zika virus vaccine testing has also shown protection in mice and rhesus monkeys [84,85]. Notably, all flavivirus vaccines to date use neutralizing antibodies as their surrogate of protection [86,87]. While promising, it remains unclear whether these successes with dengue and Zika, genus *Flavivirus*, can be extrapolated to HCV, genus *Hepacivirus*, since HCV poses unique challenges, including greater genetic diversity and incorporation of apolipoproteins into virions [64,69].

While HIV-1 vaccine development is still ongoing, many of the strategies in development for anti-HIV bNAb induction may also be applicable to HCV vaccine efforts, since both viruses have highly variable envelope proteins. The unmutated common ancestors (UCAs) of some anti-HIV bNAbs do not bind to native HIV envelope trimers, suggesting that somatic mutations are critical for antigen recognition [88]. However, the UCA of a bNAb isolated from one individual avidly bound the envelope protein of the transmitted/founder HIV-1 strain from the same subject. Similarly, we found that the UCA of an anti-HCV bNAb that we isolated from a subject who cleared HCV infection bound a transmitted/founder HCV strain from the same subject [17], suggesting that careful selection of natural transmitted/founder viral strains may be a promising vaccine strategy for both HIV-1 and HCV [89]. Another strategy that has shown some promise for HIV-1 vaccine development is the use of sequential vaccinations with diverse envelope proteins to drive maturation from UCA antibodies to mature bNAbs [90]. Sequential vaccination could also be considered as a strategy to induce higher titer, more potent bNAbs against HCV.

**Concluding Remarks and Future Perspectives**

HCV has immense genetic diversity, and the ability of the virus to rapidly evade the immune response presents a challenge to vaccine development efforts. However, recent studies have greatly expanded the number of well characterized bNAbs against HCV. These bNAbs target relatively conserved HCV epitopes, are associated with spontaneous clearance of human HCV infection, and prevent HCV infection in animal models. Recent high-resolution bNAb epitope mapping, and structural analysis of bNAb-epitope complexes, can guide vaccine development by defining potential vaccine antigens. However, obstacles remain. Viral envelope mutations both within and outside of bNAb-binding epitopes can confer resistance, and vaccine studies to date have failed to elicit high titers of bNAbs. Ongoing characterization of the antibody responses in individuals who naturally clear HCV infection will better define correlates of immune protection (see Outstanding Questions). In addition, further study of bNAb–envelope structural interactions is needed to define the molecular interactions necessary for broad neutralization. These studies, coupled with vaccine trials in animals and humans, could lead to a much-needed prophylactic vaccine stimulating protective antibody responses against HCV.

**Outstanding Questions**

What are the neutralizing breadth, neutralizing titer, and target epitopes of antibodies associated with natural control of human HCV infection?

What are the structural similarities and differences between E2–bNAb complexes comprising diverse E2 strains?

What are the critical genetic and structural features of an HCV antigen that would elicit bNAbs in human vaccinees?
Several studies have investigated the role of hepatitis C virus (HCV) in immune responses. Keck, Z.Y. et al. (2012) have shown that HCV infection in chimpanzees is associated with the quality of vaccine-induced peripheral T-helper immune response. J. Virol. 78, 187–196.
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