



Session:

Participant #:

Judge #:

Note: Within the 4 categories, there are 3 sub-categories. Each of these subcategories are worth 2 points each. If a Slammer receives full points for each category, then they receive a total of 6 points. The total point amount a Slammer can earn is 24.

Criteria		Excellent			Good		Poor	Score
		6	5	4	3	2	1	
Comprehension		6	5	4	3	2	1	/6
<input type="checkbox"/>	Did the speaker avoid jargon, explain terminology, and provide adequate background information to illustrate points?	Notes:						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Did the speaker clearly understand their topic and make the audience understand something about it, too?							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Did the speaker keep a steady pace?							
Content		6	5	4	3	2	1	/6
<input type="checkbox"/>	Did the presentation provide some background about the research/scholarly/creative question being addressed and why it's important?	Notes:						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Did the presentation clearly describe the key results of their research and its impact?							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Did the presentation follow a clear and logical sequence?							
Engagement		6	5	4	3	2	1	/6
<input type="checkbox"/>	Did the orator and presentation make the audience want to know more?	Notes:						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Was the presenter careful not to trivialize or generalize their research/scholarly/creative project?							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Did the presenter convey enthusiasm for their research and its potential impact?							
Communicatoin		6	5	4	3	2	1	/6
<input type="checkbox"/>	Did the presenter capture and maintain their audience's attention?	Notes:						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Did the speaker have sufficient stage presence, eye contact, vocal range, and a confident stance?							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Did the PowerPoint slide enhance the presentation – was it clear, legible, and concise?							
							Total:	/24