

Presenter:							
Department/School:							
Poster Number:							
Poster session:							
		Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor	
Criteria	Description	5	4	3	2	1	Score
Content	Clarity of content Quality of content (background, methodology, findings, etc.). Originality and complexity of project. Significance of project (to field of study, community, etc.) Does it support main points?	5 Comments:	4	3	2	1	
		comments.					
Organization	Layout (organized, effective, professional, captures interest). Appropriate font size and use of visual aids. Important information is readily available and easy to grasp. Clearly identified topic and purpose. Informative and clear project summary.	5	4	3	2	1	
		Comments:					
Delivery		5	4	3	2	1	
	Professional and confident. Engaged with audience. Clear voice with good pace. Command of language. Avoiding Jargon. Response to questions.	Comments:					
Overall Impression & Quality	What were the strengths of this presentation? Do you have any suggestions for improvement?	5	4	3	2	1	
		Comments:					
						Total:	/20