

| | |
|---------------------------|---|
| Presenter: | |
| Department/School: | |
| Platform session: | Informative and clear project summary. |

| Criteria | Description | Excellent | Good | Average | Fair | Poor | Score |
|---|--|-----------|------|---------|------|------|-------|
| | | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | |
| Content | Clarity of content Quality of content (background, methodology, findings, etc.). Originality and complexity of project. Significance of project (to field of study, community, etc.) Does it support main points? | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | |
| | | Comments: | | | | | |
| Organization | Appropriate use of media. Smooth transitions between topics. Logical flow of sections/ideas. Clear thesis and supporting data. Informative and clear project summary. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | |
| | | Comments: | | | | | |
| Delivery | Professional and confident. Engaged with audience. Clear voice with good pace. Command of language. Avoiding jargon. Response to questions. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | |
| | | Comments: | | | | | |
| Overall Impression & Quality | What were the strengths of this presentation? Do you have any suggestions for improvement? | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | |
| | | Comments: | | | | | |

Total: /20

*Please submit completed evaluation forms to the platform session chair.
You may include additional comments on the back of this form.*