

Presenter:	
Department/School:	
Poster Session:	
Poster Number:	

Criteria	Description	5 Excellent	4 Good	3 Average	2 Fair	1 Poor	Score
Content	Clarity of content Quality of content (background, methodology, findings, etc.). Originality	5	4	3	2	1	
	and complexity of project. Significance of project (to field of study, community, etc.) Does it support main points?	Comments:					
Organization	Layout (organized, effective, professional, captures interest). Appropriate font size and use of visual aids. Important	5	4	3	2	1	
	information is readily available and easy to grasp. Clearly identified topic and purpose. Informative and clear project summary.	Comments:					
Delivery	Professional and confident. Engaged with audience. Clear voice with good pace. Command of language. Avoiding jargon. Response to questions.	5 Comments:	4	3	2	1	
Impression & presentation? Do yo	What were the strengths of this	5	4	3	2	1	
	presentation? Do you have any suggestions for improvement?	Comments:					

Total: /20