In today’s modern era of political facades, false promises, and a lack of clarification in political stances, presidential debates are vital in identifying the truth about any human being that plans to lead our country to progression and victory within the next four years. As presidential candidates within our country, individuals are highly revered and respected for the ideas that they exhibit to the general public. However, a lack of clarity and concision is present in the execution of these plans. Presidential debates give voters the opportunity to recognize determination, genuinity, and morality in candidates on a personal and vulnerable level.

Presidential debates epitomize the inclusive idea of putting opponents on an impromptu ground to expose their true identity and character. For example, during the first televised presidential debate on September 26, 1960, candidate John F. Kennedy illustrates a tranquil, collected demeanor throughout the entire duration of the debate, while his opponent, Richard Nixon, is noticeably anxious and sweating profusely. Even though the natural behavior of the candidates can be viewed as a nonchalant aspect of their general character, it can foreshadow the behavior of the future presidents and their keen ability or inability to function under immense amounts of pressure. American citizens recognize the presidential candidate that can handle the arbitrary issues of the United States and vote accordingly to the individual that they feel can do the job justice. It is not only a
verbal debate in the polices that they plan to enforce and produce, but an unspoken, nonverbal debate that highlights the candidate that is a natural born leader.

The competitive atmosphere illuminated in presidential debates provides leeway to the moral compasses of our future, honorable innovators. Despite the fact that debates are verbal arguments designed to implement the juxtaposition of political perspectives, the body language of the competitors is equally as significant. In the presidential debate between Al Gore and George W. Bush, Al Gore is infamously remembered as the competitor that sighs repeatedly during George W. Bush’s commentary. The fact that Al Gore interrupts his opponent’s argument in an environment that provides equal opportunity to both debaters in the race elucidates the lack of concern that he obtains for Bush’s political perspective. The body language demonstrated allows the audience to conclude that Gore does not consent to anything Bush has to say in contrast to the patient, matter-of-fact tone that is held by George W. Bush at all times. George W. Bush through he debate is viewed as mature and poised in comparison to the behavior of Gore, which is an explanatory variable of the oppositions present through each competitor.

Presidential debates are not only necessary, but integral in distinguishing between sincere and disingenuous candidates that are not as dedicated to the upcoming presidential duties. The debates are a personable way to analyze political stance, consider oppositions to relevant United States issues, and comprehend the moral and ethical values held by the candidates and why they should apply to our diverse country.