



**ADDENDUM 1 TO REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL NO. 678-BC**

**REMOVAL AND INSTALLATION OF RADIO FREQUENCY TRANSCEIVERS AND  
MONITORING SERVICES**

**University of Nevada, Las Vegas**

**Purchasing Department**

**4505 Maryland Parkway**

**Las Vegas, Nevada 89154-1033**

**(702) 895-3521**

**Date of Addendum: August 19, 2016**

**Date and Hour of RFP Opening: September 1, 2016 at 2:00 p.m. Local /Pacific  
Time**

---

**THE FOLLOWING ADDITIONS, DELETIONS, CLARIFICATIONS AND/OR CHANGES  
SHALL BE MADE AND INCORPORATED IN THE SUBJECT RFP:**

The following documents are attached to this addendum:

Spreadsheet – Highlighted Point by Point Panels

**QUESTION 1:**

The RFP states the Contractor is to perform monitoring services for all the fire alarm accounts. Would UNLV consider self-monitoring by having Central Station receivers on site? This would require UNLV security or other 24 hour staff to be trained in monitoring Standard Operating Procedures. There would be a return on investment from savings in monitoring fees.

**ANSWER QUESTION 1:**

No. UNLV does not want to self-monitor.

**QUESTION 2:**

Per Section E Pricing Form, Column D of Price Sheet, the proposal asks for a "Purchase Price of Equipment". While we are comfortable providing you a price to purchase and own the enclosure, antennae, antennae assembly, battery, control board, transformer – we are requesting a clarification to the contract which states that if UNLV were to cancel our monitoring services, NextGen/Successful Contractor will have the ability to remove the proprietary frequency crystal from the AES communicator. Please let me know your thoughts on if this clarification can be added?

**ANSWER QUESTION 2:**

It is UNLV's preference not to own the equipment but to have a set monthly all inclusive fee. If the ownership model is used, and UNLV were to cancel monitoring services, the successful vendor would have the ability to remove the proprietary frequency crystal(s) from the AES communicator(s).

**QUESTION 3:**

Section D, Scope of Work

Paragraph 2- Would UNLV consider giving 90 business days for completion of the new systems instead of 45 days after the intent to award the contract?

**ANSWER QUESTION 3:**

The project must be completed by 2 Dec 16.

**QUESTION 4:**

Section D, Scope of Work- Monitoring

Paragraph 3- Please explain the term "different power grid"? The entire power grid for commercial businesses in the Las Vegas Valley is supplied by NV Energy.

**ANSWER QUESTION 4:**

The 2 different monitoring stations, for example, would have to be located in 2 different cities and/or states such that they would be on different power grids.

**QUESTION 5:**

Section D, Scope of Work- Repairs and Maintenance, Equipment Failure.

Item #1- Does this apply to all of the equipment listed in "Attachment 1 LIST OF CURRENT UNLV FIRE PANELS". Some of the Panels listed are proprietary. Example- Siemens, Cerberus, Simplex and Gamewell. Or does this pertain to the AES 7788ULF?

**ANSWER QUESTION 5:**

UNLV will retain maintenance and repair responsibility for all pre-existing equipment (including fire panels). The vendor will only be responsible for repair and maintenance of newly installed equipment as part of this project.

**QUESTION 6:**

Scope of Work - Would UNLV consider extending the response time to 4 hours instead of 2 hours?

**ANSWER QUESTION 6:**

UNLV will allow a response time (physically on the campus) of 4 hours.

**QUESTION 7:**

Section D Page 17 Item 6: Transmitting point by point information.

AES 7788F two-way radio frequency multiplex can't provide point by point information without a AES FireTap 7770 Serial Port. Fire Panel Compatibility: Notifier ONYX Series, GAMEWELL Identiflex, IF600 SERIES, FIRE-LITE MS-9200, SILENT KNIGHT using the 5824 Serial Port module, GE Est-I, GE vigilant, Siemens MXL, and FCI-7100.

Question:

Can you identify what building panels on your list that need point by point information?

**ANSWER QUESTION 7:**

Yes, the point by point panels have been highlighted (see attached).

**QUESTION 8:**

Page 8 Item 2 a): Similar or Equal.

ABC Fire and Cylinder can meet a number of your requirements with DSC HSPA Wireless Cell Fire Communicator.

Question:

Can the DSC 3G4010CF be approved as similar or equal before proposal date?

**ANSWER QUESTION 8:**

No UNLV does not approve of the DSC 3G4010CF

**QUESTION 9:**

Section D Page 17: November 15th deadline, and Item 7 Fire Watch

Job completion date is going to be subject to State Fire Marshal approval and his timeline on testing each installation.

Questions:

- Fire Watch needs provided until the installation is signed off by State Fire Marshal?
- Completion date be adjusted if State Fire Marshal is unable to meet the inspection deadline?
- Can systems be put online before State Fire Inspection with a UNLV approval?

**ANSWER QUESTION 9:**

The vendor is responsible for fire watches in buildings as the FACP is being transitioned from phone lines to the new AES RF dialers and until the system has been tested by state certified F card holders AND verified by UNLV. At that time, and in accordance with the State Fire Marshal, the buildings do not need to be fire watched. However, there should be coordination with the State Fire Marshal to get the buildings inspected/tested as soon as possible.

In short, the completion date (2 Dec 16) requires the new systems to be installed, tested by the vendor and verified by UNLV. The State Fire Marshal's inspection(s) can occur on a later date; however, the vendor must coordinate and be present for the inspection.

NOTE: This information is a result of a conversation with the State Fire Marshal on 17 Aug 16.

**ALL OTHER TERMS, CONDITIONS AND SPECIFICATIONS REMAIN THE SAME**